Can citizen science help delimit the geographical distribution of a species? The case of the *Callistoctopus* sp. (“eastern octopus”) on the Brazilian coast

Manuella Dultra Jesus¹,²; Cleverson Zapelini²,³* and Alexandre Schiavetti²,⁴

**ABSTRACT**

This study presents the first considerations and observations of the occurrence of the octopus *Callistoctopus* sp. on the coast of Brazil. Citizen science, used as a research approach, was fundamental to confirm the presence and delimit the distribution of this species on the Brazilian coast. In all, 187 interviews were conducted with octopus fishers in 17 localities surrounding six marine protected areas, between March 2018 and August 2019. During the development of the work, the number of volunteer participants significantly increased, from the initial 107 specialists to about 2180 local informants, including fishers, divers and diving instructors. By using citizen science, it was possible to extend the area of distribution of this new species from the three existing records of individuals captured for the state of Pernambuco and Bahia to 11 records for more than seven states. The citizen science approach was considered useful for the generation of data that complement scientific research, and its greatest obstacle for use in ethnobiological studies was the need to motivate volunteers to increase the robustness of the collected data.
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**SIGNIFICANCE STATEMENT**

This is the first ethnobiological study of the octopus *Callistoctopus* sp. conducted for the coast of Brazil. This species has not yet been described by science. Citizen science was used as a research approach and proved to be fundamental to confirm the presence and delimit the distribution of this species on the Brazilian coast. Thus, the present work presents the first considerations and observations of one more species of the *Callistoctopus macropus* species complex (Risso, 1826).
INTRODUCTION

Threats to biodiversity have increased steadily in recent decades (Dinerstein et al., 2019; O’Hara et al., 2019; Pilling et al., 2020). For managers to take effective action, these threats must be initially identified and quantified. Any biodiversity conservation efforts, however, require the implementation of high-cost monitoring programs. Unfortunately, government agencies have low budgets and are unable to maintain long-term monitoring programs (Sharpe and Conrad, 2006).

The term citizen science was created in 1837 by William Whewell during his research on ocean tides (Cooper, 2016). Since then, citizen science has played an important role in areas of knowledge such as archaeology, astronomy and natural history, where skills in observation can be as important as the use of equipment (Cohn, 2008). The term is used to give relevance to information obtained through the knowledge of ordinary citizens who work as volunteer researchers and contribute to the collection and/or processing of data as part of scientific research (Dickinson et al. 2012; Donnelly et al. 2014).

Data collection by volunteer researchers has become a convenient alternative for scientists and research agencies that lack information and do not have sufficient financial resources (Pattengill-Semmens; Semmens, 2003; Bonney et al., 2015). Volunteers have participated in projects and studies on climate change (Snyder et al., 2019), biodiversity (Golinelli et al., 2015), invasive species (Andow et al., 2016; Anderson et al., 2017), conservation biology (Goffredo et al., 2004; Crall et al., 2011; Cerrano et al., 2017), ethnoknowledge (Guido and Rodriguez, 2015), ecological restoration (Crall et al., 2012), monitoring of marine biodiversity (Goffredo et al., 2010), population ecology (Branchini et al., 2015) and others. Projects such as the Earthwatch Institute and the National Institute of Invasive Species Science (NIISS) seek the help of these volunteers because they need to collect data in large areas (Silvertown, 2009; Crall et al., 2012). Thus, citizen science is capable of making relevant contributions to marine science, where professional scientific studies and activities are limited by the available human and budgetary resources (Thiel et al., 2014).

In Brazil, projects involving citizen science have only recently begun to gain notoriety (Pinheiro and Chalhub, 2019). For example, the Citizen Science Movement (“Movimento de Ciência Cidadã”, in Portuguese), founded in 2012 in the city of Belém (Pará), originated from the risks and impacts of biotechnologies, particularly transgenic ones. Another example is the Brazilian Biodiversity Information System (“Sistema de Informações sobre a Biodiversidade Brasileira”, or SiBBr), created in 2014. This project aims to "consolidate a solid national infrastructure of data and content in biodiversity". For the marine environment, the most prominent proposal, currently, is the Blue Change Citizen Initiative, which seeks to develop citizen research projects in Brazil for the conservation of marine and coastal environments by connecting people with science and vice versa and taking the demands and concerns of society to science (SiBBR, 2020).

The participation of volunteer researchers in underwater monitoring projects reveals some unique challenges. Although the data collected by professional researchers are fundamental and should not be replaced, observations made by recreational divers can provide valuable long-term and large-scale data of the locations where they have dived (Ward-Paige; Lotze, 2011). In addition, citizen science can contribute to territorial management in marine conservation projects (Cerrano et al., 2017).

Based on this perspective of citizen science, the present study used information obtained from the voluntary participation of divers and underwater fishers distributed along the Brazilian coast. This work may be the first to use citizen science as a complementary approach for the identification and distribution of a species of octopus on the Brazilian coast, namely the eastern octopus, Callistoctopus sp. (Lima et al., 2020).

MATERIAL AND METHODS

Study area

The study area was delimited using the ad hoc method (Braga et al., 2005), consisting of consultations to “experts” to identify the sites of the possible occurrence of the species Callistoctopus sp. and obtain integrated answers based on individual knowledge. Experts, in this case, were considered as being divers with 10 to 30 years of experience in the activity and who were recommended by the consulted dive operators. In this stage, 57 diving schools were contacted via e-mail to delimit the study area. These schools, located between the northeastern and southern regions of Brazil, received a questionnaire containing five specific questions about the possibility of encounters between the divers and the target species of this study during underwater activities (Table 1). For each school, the responsible person was instructed to answer the questions considering, specifically, their region of work. A photograph of the target species was submitted with the questionnaire (Figure 1).

After consultations with diving schools and having the species occurrence limits as Fernando de Noronha Island - PE (Leite and Haimovici, 2006) and Porto
Figure 1. *Callistoctopus* sp. specimen found in a coastal reef of Porto Seguro (BA). First record of its occurrence in Bahia. Photo: Edmilson Conceição (JESUS et al., 2015).

Table 1. Model of the questionnaire sent to diving schools, addressing specific information about the possibility of encounters between the divers and the target species during underwater activities.

<p>| | |</p>
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1. Have you observed/captured and/or photographed an octopus that had white spots scattered throughout its body?</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>( ) Yes ( ) No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2. If so, mark the depth at which this record occurred: (or sighting)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>( ) &lt; 10 m</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3. In which period was this record made?</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( ) Day</td>
<td>( ) Night</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4. How often does this octopus appear?</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( ) All year</td>
<td>( ) A few months a year; if so, which ones?</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5. When was the last time you saw this octopus?</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( ) &lt; 1 year; ( ) &gt; 1 year &lt; 5 years; ( ) &gt; 5 years &lt; 10 years</td>
<td>( ) &gt; 10 years</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Seguro - BA (Jesus et al., 2015), we decided to investigate sites with different uses but that had similar topographic characteristics to the places where scientific evidence existed. Thus, considering the positive responses regarding the occurrence of the species and trying to encompass sites with different uses, seven marine protected areas (MPA) were defined (Table 2). For this purpose, permission was obtained from the system of authorisation and information on biodiversity (“Sistema de Autorização e Informação em Biodiversidades” - SISBio) under Protocol No. 60468-2.
Subsequently, we contacted the MPA managers and presidents of the Fisheries Colonies to schedule field activities. Field activities consisted of interviews, diving and direct observation of the fishing activity and collection of specimens. The municipalities of Natal (RN) were also visited, considering that, in Natal, there are reef environments with similar structural characteristics than those of the reefs found in Fernando de Noronha (Castro and Pires, 2001; Mazzei et al., 2017), site of the first record of the species in Brazil (Leite and Haimovici, 2006).

Data collection

Firstly, the president of the Colony of Fishers gave us names of active octopus fishers. These fishers were contacted and after presentation of the team and the project, we started the interviews by showing the fishers photos of 3 species of octopus (Octopus insularis, O. vulgaris and Callistoctopus sp.), on different substrates, sexual gender and sizes so that they could tell us which species knew and occurred in his fishing region. After this first “visual identification”, we directed the interviewee to answer the questions present in the questionnaire. When the fisher did not recognize the species Callistoctopus sp. the interview was conducted only on the general aspects of octopus fishing. When the species Callistoctopus sp. it was recognized, the interview extended to specific questions about the species. These specific questions were asked according to the information available in the literature about the ecological and behavioral aspects of the species Callistoctopus macropus (our initial suspicion). At the end of each interview, we asked the interviewed fisher to indicate two more octopus fishers (snowball method; Bailey, 1994). We ended the interviews, when the indications were repeated more than 3 times. The qualitative-quantitative data (Berto and Nakano, 1999) were collected from March 2018 to August 2019 in 17 localities (Add file 1). The anonymity of participants and the confidentiality of the information provided by them were guaranteed under the conditions established in the informed consent statement (TCLE; Authorization No. 2.593.218 of the Research Ethics Committee of the Universidade Estadual de Santa Cruz).

Free diving was carried out in the reef areas and/or close to the rocky shores, since the species occurred in shallow waters. Only in Fernando de Noronha Marine National Park, this modality was not carried out, due to poor weather conditions.

In the phase of direct observation of the fishing activity, all the specimens captured were during the fishing activity (night) in the Morro de São Paulo region (BA). There was no specimen collection at the MPA. The captured specimens were frozen and sent to the Universidade Federal de São Paulo, Instituto do Mar, Fisheries Science Laboratory (LabPESCA) for taxonomic analysis. Tissue samples were taken from each specimen and sent to the Universidade Federal do Pará, Integrated Biological Research Group (GIBI), Center for Advanced Studies in Biodiversity (CEABIO), for genetic analysis. The results of these analyzes will be presented and discussed elsewhere.

Information on the aspects of octopus fishing in the study region was obtained through semi-structured interviews (Add file 2) with octopus fishers, autonomous divers and underwater fishers initially contacted through fishing associations, fishing colonies and diving schools.

For the data obtained through the citizen science, the “ladder of citizen participation” (Arnstein 1969) was used as a theoretical framework, in which each step of the ladder represents a different level of involvement of individuals within the community, ranging from non-participation to citizen empowerment, in the decision-making process (Arnstein, 2013). Thus, this work comprised three “steps” of citizen partici-
pation, considering the involved social actors were notified (via electronic mail), consulted (through questionnaires and interviews) and, after the field activities (initially developed in the pilot project), asked to form a partnership (where the partner fishers suggested the best period for our data collection and sampling and shared the questionnaire and photo in a private group of underwater fishers on social media).

RESULTS

As established in the ad hoc survey method, 57 questionnaires were sent to the diving schools. Of the 57 questionnaires, 32 were returned (rate of return of 56.1%). In 18 of the returned questionnaires, the autonomous divers did not recognise the species, while in the remaining 14 questionnaires, the autonomous divers did recognise the species (Table 3). Two diving schools in Bahia and one in Rio de Janeiro distributed the questionnaire among their divers, totalling around 430 "experts" consulted at this stage. In the diving school of Bahia, 215 divers answered the questionnaire and 16 of these divers (7.44%) recognised the species. No records were obtained for the state of Rio de Janeiro in this stage. According to the responses, the species occurred at night, at depths of less than 10 meters, with rare sightings.

In the field stage (in loco), 187 interviews were conducted with artisanal octopus fishers (members of Fishing Colonies) in 17 localities surrounding the 9 sampled areas (6 MPAs and 3 unprotected areas). The highest number of respondents was at the Corumbau Marine Extractive Reserve (36 fishers, April 2018). The MPA with the lowest number of respondents was the Arvoredo Biological Reserve (15 fishers, November 2018). The average number of respondents per locality was 21. In only one MPA (Arvoredo Rio), the species was not recognised by the respondents (Add file 1). In March 2020, an underwater fisher made a photographic record of a juvenile specimen in Florianópolis (SC; Add File 3).

Of the 187 fishers interviewed, 132 (70.8%) were able to identify one or two species of octopus (Add file 1). According to them, *Octopus insularis* and *O. vulgaris* were the same species ("common octopus") and *Callistoctopus sp.* was a different species. The respondents used various names to refer to *Callistoctopus sp.*, depending on the location (e.g., "eastern octopus", "sand octopus", "cheetah octopus" or "shell octopus"). Other results from the interviews (Add file 2) will be discussed elsewhere.

A total of 16 specimens were captured in Morro de São Paulo (BA) and sent to the Laboratory of Fisheries Sciences (LabPESCA), at the Federal University of São Paulo (SP), for taxonomic, morphometric and genetic analysis (these results will be discussed elsewhere). For the municipality of Natal (RN), no sighting or evidence of the occurrence of this octopus was recorded during the field activity (May 2019). Dives were carried out in the reef environments of Maracajau and interviews were conducted with fishers on the beaches of Pipa, Ponta Negra, Camurupim and Rendinha. During the fieldwork in Maceió (September 2019), no specimen was collected, although one record of its occurrence was obtained in Praia do Francês, through identification by volunteers.

The partnership established with underwater fishers working in Bombinhas (SC) enabled the sharing of specific information about the octopus in a group of fishers on a social media platform, with around 1,750 participants from several Brazilian states. Likely records of the species (provided by informants but needing confirmation) were made on the Brazilian coast for the states of BA (Salvador, Morro de São Paulo and Banco dos Abrolhos), AL (Praia do Francês), PE (Porto de Galinhas) and ES (Aracruz) (Table 4; Figure 2), and photographic records were made for Banco dos Abrolhos, Morro de São Paulo, Porto de Galinhas and Florianópolis (SC). (Add file 3).

The level of citizen participation is shown in Table 5, where it is noted that more than 2,100 participants were informed of the survey; more than 180 were consulted and the partnership was established with 5 partners (with some of these partnerships remaining until the writing date of this work).

DISCUSSION

Citizen science was successfully used in this study. With this approach, it was possible to verify the potential presence and geographical distribution of a newly discovered species of the *Callistoctopus* complex. Our results incorporated data from an extensive geographical area owing to the effective citizen participation of volunteer divers. Thus, the approach used here proved to be relevant for the verification of the presence and potential distribution area of the species.

Science needs inexpensive approaches that effectively help solve environmental issues (Stevenson, 2005). Therefore, alternatives methods, such as ethnoecological studies and science citizen, are used to develop management strategies for aquatic resources in general (Drew, 2005; Goffredo et al., 2010; Khumiri et al., 2008). Moreover, these techniques shorten the time needed to conduct studies and reduce their costs (Lopes et al., 2010; Thié et al., 2014).

The results of the voluntary collaboration expanded the current knowledge about the possible geographical distribution area of *Callistoctopus sp.* on the Brazilian coast. The resulting expanded knowl-
Table 3. Number of returned questionnaires referring to recognition of the species *Callistoctopus* sp. by autonomous divers from diving schools along the Brazilian coast

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>State</th>
<th>Acronym</th>
<th>Recognized species (N)</th>
<th>%</th>
<th>Unrecognized species (N)</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Alagoas</td>
<td>AL</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>14.28</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>11.11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bahia</td>
<td>BA</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>42.86</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>38.88</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pernambuco</td>
<td>PE</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>14.28</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>11.11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rio de Janeiro</td>
<td>RJ</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>21.43</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>22.22</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Santa Catarina</td>
<td>SC</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>7.14</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>16.66</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>


<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Region</th>
<th>State</th>
<th>Responses (N)</th>
<th>Non-recognition (N)</th>
<th>Recognition (N)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>North</td>
<td>AP</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>AL</td>
<td>49</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>42</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>BA</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>CE</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Northeast</td>
<td>MA</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>PB</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>PE</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>RN</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Southeast</td>
<td>ES</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>RJ</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>SP</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>South</td>
<td>PR</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>SC</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>RS</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td></td>
<td>104</td>
<td>39</td>
<td>65</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>


<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Level of citizen participation</th>
<th>Number of participants</th>
<th>State</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Informed</td>
<td>2180</td>
<td>AL; AP; BA; CE; ES; MA; PB; PE; PR; RJ; RN; RS; SC e SP</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Consulted</td>
<td>187</td>
<td>AL; BA; PE; RJ e SC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Partners</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>BA; RJ e SC</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Knowledge on species distribution agrees with the description in Groom et al. (2019), which states “citizen science broadens opportunities for scientific data collection and provides access to scientific information for community members". This understanding is one of the best examples of "partnerships", as they benefit both scientists and volunteer citizens (Jeffrey, 2008). According to Arnstein (1969), the participation of citizens is essential for the exercise of citizenship. By understanding and classifying the level of involvement and participation of civil society, through the analogy to this theory, it was possible to organise the obtained information and identify potential decision-making agents, in case octopus management strategies should be adopted.
According to Gibbons (1999), citizen science involves a broad range of factors, from the "simple observation of events and natural characteristics by citizens" to the "important social role of learning about the world around us", which would amount to "a revolution of science", in the democratization of learning about the world in which we live. Moreover, citizen science can provide information needed to complement scientific research and enables an expanded analysis of the collected data (Couvet et al., 2008). In this sense, the approach can fundamentally promote coordinated action between social actors, improve the quality of information and facilitate the achievement of common goals (Sauermann et al., 2020). Following this line of reasoning, citizen science was used as a supplementary approach in field research and as a way of bridging the gap between popular and scientific knowledge. Thus, the results of this study add to the evident and increasing relevance of citizen science for the diagnosis of species distribution maps (Harvey et al., 2018; Chandler et al., 2017).

The use of volunteers in projects has increased in the last two decades, particularly in the areas of ecology and environmental sciences (Silvertown, 2009; Kosmala et al., 2016). The recognition of citizen science by the scientific community has facilitated its use in monitoring projects, thus increasing understanding of the ecosystem and generating a significant set of spatial and temporal data (Goffredo et al., 2010). However, there is a recurring concern regarding the reliability of data collected using citizen science. To remedy this problem, the collected data must be compared with the available literature, and methods and protocols should be developed to reduce possible errors and detect gaps in the data provided by citizen science (Schmeller et al., 2009; Dickinson; Zuckerberg; Bonter, 2010; Crall et al., 2011; Senabre et al., 2018; Thiel et al., 2014).

Citizen science should not be viewed as a panacea. That is, participatory method cannot be seen as infallible and capable of adequately solving all kinds of problems (Medeiros and Borges, 2007). However, the partnership established with underwater fishers working in Bombinhas (SC) and pot net octopus fishers1 in Cabo Frio (RJ) proved effective. The fishers from Bombinhas managed to obtain records of the species

---

1 Net pot: underwater fishing modality, in which octopuses are captured in pots attached to a "line" (longline). In the Resex of Arraial do Cabo, this fishing modality was authorised by Ordinance No. 28, of January 18, 2019.
by using a group on social media with information on its occurrence along the Brazilian coast. In addition, the fishers shared photographic records (Add file 3) and responses indicative of the occurrence of this octopus in these regions, such as (i) period of sighting (night); (ii) depth (less than 10 m); (iii) frequency of sighting (rarely); (iv) size and thickness of the “arms” (long and thin); and (v) presence of the characteristic white spots scattered throughout the body. Therefore, there are strong indications of the occurrence of octopus Callistoctopus sp. for the Brazilian states of AL, ES, RJ and SC.

The fact that, in AL, 11 volunteers recognised the species and that three of these volunteers made photographic records provides additional support for future investigations in loco, considering approaches that use Local Ecological Knowledge (LEK; Jesus et al., in preparation), such as “informant consensus”, can be used to check information (Hanazaki et al., 2010). The states of AL and ES have reef environments with similar structures (Castro and Pires, 2001; Leão et al., 2003; Leão et al., 2016). Thus, the species may also occur in the reefs of ES, as suggested by the volunteers. In the state of RJ, the species was also recognised. The potential recognition of Callistoctopus sp. in Cabo Frio (RJ) may be related to the importance of this region as a diving point on the Brazilian coast (Giglio et al., 2019). Consequently, the high number of divers may lead to a search effort with sightings of the species. In addition, Arraial do Cabo is a region where octopus fishing has commercial importance and the species Octopus vulgaris is caught throughout the year (Costa et al., 1990). This region is influenced by the Brazil Current (BC), which carries warm and oligotrophic waters southward (Pereira et al., 2009). When the interaction and incomplete mixing between the different water bodies occur, striking oceanographic features are produced, called oceanographic fronts, such as the resurgence fronts (Zanella et al., 1998), found in the Cabo Frio region. These fronts are regions that exhibit great biological abundance due to local productivity (Fournier et al., 1979). According to the interviewed octopus fishers in the region of the Resex of Arraial do Cabo, the species Callistoctopus sp. is not often found but is known to occur between January and February. According to Castro (1996), in the Cabo Frio region, the resurgence phenomenon is seasonal and occurs mainly in spring and summer. Thus, the effect of this oceanographic characteristic on the presence of Callistoctopus sp. for this region should be investigated.

In SC, a photographic record was made in March 2020 (austral summer) at a distance of about two nautical miles from the coast. According to the fisher, about 20 specimens similar to the one recorded were seated on a seafood bank, located about 5m deep. Litt...
especially: Braz de Oliveira (artisanal fisher; BA), responsible for the catches of the sampled specimens; Tiago Taquini (underwater fisher; SC), who enabled the citizen participation of the group of underwater fishers "Dive Brasil" in this research; Simar "dos Mares" (RJ); to all the persons directly or indirectly involved, through socialisation and sharing of information and experiences.
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**Additional Files**

**Add File 1.** Locations visited during field activities from March 2018 to August 2019. In all, 17 localities were visited in 7 states, and 187 interviews were conducted. *http://www.mma.gov.br/areas-protegidas/unidades-de-conservacao. UF: Federal Unit; MPA: Marine protected area; SNUC: National System of Conservation Units; IUCN: International Union for Conservation of Nature; APA: Environmental Protection Area; PARNA: National Park; Resex: Extractive Reserve; Rebio: Biological Reserve.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Site</th>
<th>State</th>
<th>MPA</th>
<th>MPA class (SNUC/IUCN)</th>
<th>Presence of the species</th>
<th>Popular name</th>
<th>Number of interviewees</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Porto de Pedras</td>
<td>AL</td>
<td>Costa dos Corais EPA</td>
<td>Sustainable Use / V</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Does not have</td>
<td>16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Porto da Rua</td>
<td>AL</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Praia do Marceneiro</td>
<td>AL</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Passo de Camaragibe</td>
<td>AL</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Caravelas</td>
<td>BA</td>
<td>Abrolhos MNP</td>
<td>Full Protection II</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Does not have</td>
<td>35</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Morro de São Paulo</td>
<td>BA</td>
<td>Tinhare-Boipeba EPA</td>
<td>Sustainable Use / V</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Polvo de areia</td>
<td>30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ilha de Boipeba</td>
<td>BA</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Caraíva</td>
<td>BA</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Corumbau</td>
<td>BA</td>
<td>Corumbau MER</td>
<td>Sustainable Use / VI</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Polvo de leste</td>
<td>36</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cumuruxatiba</td>
<td>BA</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jeriacoacoara</td>
<td>CE</td>
<td>Não se aplica</td>
<td>not applicable</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>Does not have</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Barrinha</td>
<td>CE</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ilha de Fernando de Noronha</td>
<td>PE</td>
<td>Fernando de Noronha MNP</td>
<td>Full Protection / II</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Does not have</td>
<td>15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Arraial do Cabo</td>
<td>RJ</td>
<td>Arraial do Cabo MER</td>
<td>Sustainable Use / VI</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Polvo chita</td>
<td>20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cabo Frio</td>
<td>RJ</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Natal</td>
<td>RN</td>
<td>Não se aplica</td>
<td>not applicable</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>Does not have</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bombinhas</td>
<td>SC</td>
<td>Arvoredo MBR</td>
<td>Full Protection / I</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>Does not have</td>
<td>15</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Total**                        | 187   |
Add File 2. Field Research Form - Local Ecological Knowledge (LEK).

Location:; Municipality:;
1 - Name of fisher:
2 - Nickname of fisher:
3 - Gender: ( ) Male ( ) Female
4 - Age:

General aspects of octopus fishing
4. Who taught you how to fish octopus?
   ( ) Parents ( ) Grandparents ( ) Friends ( ) Alone;
5. Do you use traps to fish octopus?
   ( ) Yes; Which? ( ) No
6. Do you use any tools to fish octopus?
   ( ) Yes; Which? ( ) No
7. Do you dive to fish octopus?
   ( ) Yes; ( ) No; ( ) Sometimes;
8. How do you classify octopus fishing in this region?
   ( ) artisanal; ( ) industrial; ( ) do not know

Seasonality of octopus fishing
9. How often do you fish octopus?
   ( ) Daily; ( ) Weekly; ( ) Fortnightly; ( ) Monthly;
10. In which period do you fish octopus?
    ( ) Day; ( ) Night;
11. Is octopus fishing influenced by the tide?
    ( ) Yes; ( ) No;
12. In which tide do you fish octopus?
    ( ) Low; ( ) High;
13. Does the lunar phase influence octopus fishing?
    ( ) Yes; ( ) No;
14. In which lunar phase(s) do you fish octopus?
    ( ) Full; ( ) Waning; ( ) Waxing; ( ) New

Catch effort
15. Do fish octopus alone?
    ( ) Yes; ( ) No;
16. How long does an octopus fishery last?
    ( ) 3h; ( ) 3h
17. How many kilograms of octopus do you fish per fishery?
    ( ) 10kg; ( ) 10Kg
18. How much did the smallest octopus you fished weigh?
    ( ) 500g; ( ) 500g;
19. How much did the largest octopus you fished weigh?
    ( ) 2Kg; ( ) 2Kg

Sales
20. Are you a member of a fishing colony?
    ( ) Yes; Which? ( ) No
21. Is octopus fishing your main work activity?
    ( ) Yes ( ) No
22. Do you sell all the octopuses you fish?
    ( ) Yes; To whom? ( ) No;
23. Do you consume all the octopuses you fish?
    ( ) Yes; ( ) No;
24. Do you know how to differentiate male octopus from female octopus?
   ( ) Yes; ( ) No;
25. Do you think there is more than one type of octopus in your region?
   ( ) Yes; ( ) No;

Aspects of octopus fishing for *Callistoctopus*
26. Have you seen/captured and/or photographed an octopus that had white spots all over its body?
   ( ) Yes ( ) No
27. If your answer was "yes", do you remember the size of this octopus?
   cm kg
28. What was the size of the largest octopus of this "kind" you fished?
29. What year was it fished?
30. Where did you fish this octopus?
31. Which fishing gear did you use?
32. How many octopuses of this species did you catch on your best fishing day?
33. In what month and at what time did this happen?
34. What year?
35. Where did you fish?
36. What factor do you most take into account to differentiate this octopus from the common octopus?
   ( ) Size ( ) Weight ( ) Colour
37. Regarding the colour, how do you differentiate this octopus from the ordinary octopus?
38. How deep is the place where this octopus is found?
   ( ) <1m; ( ) 1m-5m; ( ) 5m-10m; ( ) >10m.

Add File 3. Records of the octopus *Callistoctopus* sp. in (A, left) Banco dos Abrolhos (BA), unknow author; (B, above) Porto de Galinhas (PE), Léo Blanke Samson; (C, below) Morro de São Paulo (BA), M.D.J.; and (D, right) Florianópolis (SC), Tiago Taquini.